## Sufficient statistics

The concept of sufficiency addresses the question

"Is there a statistic  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{X})$  that in some sense contains all the information about  $\theta$ that is in the sample?"

#### Example 3.1

 $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  iid Bernoulli $(\theta)$ , so that  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_i = 0) = \theta$  for some  $0 < \theta < 1.$ 

So  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{x_i}(1-\theta)^{1-x_i} = \theta^{\sum x_i}(1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i}$ .

This depends on the data only through  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x}) = \sum x_i$ , the total number of ones. Note that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{X}) \sim \mathsf{Bin}(n, \theta)$ . If  $T(\mathbf{x}) = t$ , then

$$
f_{\mathbf{X}|\mathcal{T}=t}(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{T}=t)=\frac{\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x},\mathcal{T}=t)}{\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathcal{T}=t)}=\frac{\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}{\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathcal{T}=t)}=\frac{\theta^{\sum x_i}(1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i}}{\binom{n}{t}\theta^t(1-\theta)^{n-t}}=\binom{n}{t}^{-1},
$$

ie the conditional distribution of **X** given  $T = t$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Thus if we know  $T$ , then additional knowledge of  $\boldsymbol{x}$  (knowing the exact sequence of 0's and 1's) does not give extra information about  $\theta_{\cdot} \ \Box$ 

Lecture 3. Sufficiency

y and  $2(1-1)$ 

3. Sufficiency 3.1. Sufficient statistics

#### Theorem 3.2

(The Factorisation criterion) T is sufficient for  $\theta$  iff  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = g(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}), \theta) h(\mathbf{x})$  for suitable functions g and h.

Proof (Discrete case only) Suppose  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = g(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}), \theta) h(\mathbf{x}).$ If  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x})\!=\!t$  then

$$
f_{\mathbf{X}|\mathcal{T}=t}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathcal{T}=t) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{X})=t)}{\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathcal{T}=t)} = \frac{g(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}), \theta)h(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\{\mathbf{x}': \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}')=t\}} g(t, \theta)h(\mathbf{x}')}
$$

$$
= \frac{g(t, \theta)h(\mathbf{x})}{g(t, \theta)\sum_{\{\mathbf{x}': \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}')=t\}} h(\mathbf{x}')} = \frac{h(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\{\mathbf{x}': \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}')=t\}} h(\mathbf{x}')},
$$

which does not depend on  $\theta$ , so  $\tau$  is sufficient.

Now suppose that  $T$  is sufficient so that the conditional distribution of  $\mathsf{X} \mid T = t$ does not depend on  $\theta$ . Then

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})=\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}, T(\mathbf{X})=t(\mathbf{x}))=\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x} | T=t)\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(T=t).
$$

The first factor does not depend on  $\theta$  by assumption; call it  $h(\mathbf{x})$ . Let the second factor be  $g(t,\theta)$ , and so we have the required factorisation.  $\Box$ 



3. Sufficiency 3.1. Sufficient statistics

0.

#### Definition 3.1

A statistic  $T$  is **sufficient** for  $\theta$  if the conditional distribution of **X** given  $T$  does not depend on  $\theta.$ 

Note that  $T$  and/or  $\theta$  may be vectors. In practice, the following theorem is used to find sufficient statistics.

```
Lecture 3. Sufficiency
```
#### Example 3.1 continued

For Bernoulli trials,  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i}$ . Take  $g(t,\theta)=\theta^t(1-\theta)^{n-t}$  and  $h(\mathbf{x})=1$  to see that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{X})=\sum X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .  $\square$ 

#### Example 3.2

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $U[0, \theta]$ .

Lecture 3. Sufficiency

Write  $1_{\lceil A \rceil}$  for the indicator function of  $A$ .

We have

$$
f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta}1_{[0 \leq x_i \leq \theta]} = \frac{1}{\theta^n}1_{[\mathsf{max}_i \ x_i \leq \theta]}1_{[\mathsf{min}_i \ x_i \geq 0]}
$$

 $\mathbf{y}$  , and the contract of the contract o

3. Sufficiency 3.2. Minimal sufficient statistics

.

Then  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{X}) = \max_i X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .  $\square$ 

# Minimal sufficient statistics

Sufficient statistics are not unique. If  $\tau$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ , then so is any (1-1) function of  $\tau$ .

**X** itself is always sufficient for  $\theta$ ; take  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$ ,  $g(\mathbf{t}, \theta) = f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t} \mid \theta)$  and  $h(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ . But this is not much use.

The sample space  $\mathcal{X}^n$  is partitioned by  $\mathcal{T}$  into sets  $\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n : \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}) = t \}.$ 

If  $\tau$  is sufficient, then this data reduction does not lose any information on  $\theta$ . We seek a sufficient statistic that achieves the maximum-possible reduction.

#### Definition 3.3

A sufficient statistic  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{X})$  is *minimal sufficient* if it is a function of every other sufficient statistic:i.e. if  $T'(\mathbf{X})$  is also sufficient, then  $T'(\mathbf{X}) = T'(\mathbf{Y}) \to T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{Y})$ <br>i.e. the partition for  $T$  is coarser than that for  $T'$ i.e. the partition for  $T$  is coarser than that for  $T'$ .

 $\mathsf{y}$  and the contract of the contract of

3. Sufficiency 3.2. Minimal sufficient statistics

Minimal sufficient statistics can be found using the following theorem.

#### Theorem 3.4

Suppose  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{X})$  is a statistic such that  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) / f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}; \theta)$  is constant as a function of  $\theta$  if and only if  $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$ . Then  $T$  is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ .

#### Sketch of proof : Non-examinable

Lecture 3. Sufficiency

First, we aim to use the Factorisation Criterion to show sufficiency. Define anequivalence relation ∼ on  $\mathcal{X}^n$  by setting **x** ∼ **y** when  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{y})$ . (Check that this is indeed an equivalence relation.) Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{ T(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}^n \}$ , and for each  $u$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , choose a representative  $x_u$  from the equivalence class  $\{x : T(x) = u\}$ . Let x be in  $\mathcal{X}^n$  and suppose that  $T(\mathbf{x}) = t$ . Then **x** is in the equivalence class  $\{\mathbf{x}' : T(\mathbf{x}') = t\}$ , which has representative  $x_t$ , and this representative may also be written  $x_{\tau(x)}$ . We have  $x \sim x_t$ , so that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x})=\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x}_t),$  ie  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x})=\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x})}).$  Hence, by hypothesis, the ratio  $\frac{\mathsf{f}_\mathsf{X}(\mathsf{x};\theta)}{\mathsf{f}_\mathsf{X}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{x})};\theta)}$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , so let this be  $h(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $g(t, \theta) = f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_t, \theta)$ . Then

$$
f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x};\theta) = f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x})};\theta) \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x};\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x})};\theta)} = g(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}),\theta) h(\mathbf{x}),
$$

and so  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\mathsf{X})$  is sufficient for  $\theta$  by the Factorisation Criterion.

y and  $7(1-1)$ 

Next we aim to show that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathsf{X})$  is a function of every other sufficient statistic.

Suppose that  $S(\mathsf{X})$  is also sufficient for  $\theta$ , so that, by the Factorisation Criterion, there exist functions  $g_S$  and  $h_S$  (we call them  $g_S$  and  $h_S$  to show that they belong to S and to distinguish them from  $g$  and  $h$  above) such that

$$
f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x};\theta)=g_S(S(\mathbf{x}),\theta)h_S(\mathbf{x}).
$$

Suppose that  $S(\mathsf{x}) = S(\mathsf{y})$ . Then

Lecture 3. Sufficiency

$$
\frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x};\theta)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y};\theta)} = \frac{g_{S}(S(\mathbf{x}),\theta)h_{S}(\mathbf{x})}{g_{S}(S(\mathbf{y}),\theta)h_{S}(\mathbf{y})} = \frac{h_{S}(\mathbf{x})}{h_{S}(\mathbf{y})},
$$

because  $S(x) = S(y)$ . This means that the ratio  $\frac{f_X(x;\theta)}{f_X(y;\theta)}$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , and this implies that  $T(x) = T(y)$  by hypothesis. So we have shown that  $S(x) = S(y)$  implies that  $T(\mathsf{x}) = T(\mathsf{y})$ , i.e  $T$  is a function of S. Hence  $T$  is minimal sufficient.  $\Box$ 

#### Example 3.3

Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Then

$$
\frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mu, \sigma^2)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y} \mid \mu, \sigma^2)} = \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (y_i - \mu)^2\right\}} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_i x_i^2 - \sum_i y_i^2\right) + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \left(\sum_i x_i - \sum_i y_i\right)\right\}.
$$

This is constant as a function of  $(\mu,\sigma^2)$  iff  $\sum_i x_i^2 = \sum_i y_i^2$  and  $\sum_i x_i = \sum_i y_i.$ So  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{X}) = (\sum_i X_i^2, \sum_i X_i)$  is minimal sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .  $\Box$ 

1-1 functions of minimal sufficient statistics are also minimal sufficient.

So  ${\sf T}'({\sf X})=$   $=$   $(\bar X, \sum(X_i -\bar X)^2)$  is also sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\bar X = \sum_i X_i/n$ . We write  $S_{XX}$  for  $\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ .

#### Notes

Example 3.3 has a vector  $T$  sufficient for a vector  $\theta$ . Dimensions do not have to the same: e.g. for  $\mathcal{N}(\mu,\mu^2),\,\, \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_i X_i^2,\sum_i X_i\right)$  is minimal sufficient for  $\mu$  [check]

3. Sufficiency 3.2. Minimal sufficient statistics

If the range of X depends on  $\theta$ , then "  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) / f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}; \theta)$  is constant in  $\theta$ " means " $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{y}; \theta)$ "

(i) Since  $\tau$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ , the conditional distribution of **X** given  $\tau = t$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . Hence  $\hat{\theta} = \mathbb{E}\big[\tilde{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}) \,|\: \mathcal{T}\big]$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , and so is a

(ii) The theorem says that given any estimator, we can find one that is a functionof a sufficient statistic that is at least as good in terms of mean squared error

1

-



Notes

The Rao–Blackwell theorem gives a way to improve estimators in the mse sense.

#### Theorem 3.5

m.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

(The Rao–Blackwell theorem)

Let T be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  and let  $\tilde{\theta}$  be an estimator for  $\theta$  with  $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\theta}^2)<\infty$  for all  $\theta$ . Let  $\hat{\theta}=\mathbb{E}\big[\tilde{\theta} \,|\: \mathcal{T}\big]$ . Then for all  $\theta$ , -1

$$
\mathbb{E}\big[(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^2\big]\leq \mathbb{E}\big[(\tilde{\theta}-\theta)^2\big].
$$

The inequality is strict unless  $\tilde{\theta}$  is a function of T.

**Proof** By the conditional expectation formula we have  $\mathbb{E}\hat{\theta} = \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\theta} \,|\: \mathcal{T})\big]=\mathbb{E}\tilde{\theta}$ , so - $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\tilde{\theta}$  have the same bias. By the conditional variance formula,

$$
\text{var}(\tilde{\theta}) = \mathbb{E} \big[ \text{var}(\tilde{\theta} | \mathcal{T}) \big] + \text{var} \big[ \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\theta} | \mathcal{T}) \big] = \mathbb{E} \big[ \text{var}(\tilde{\theta} | \mathcal{T}) \big] + \text{var}(\hat{\theta}).
$$

Hence var $(\widetilde{\theta}) \geq$  var $(\widehat{\theta}),$  and so mse $(\widetilde{\theta}) \geq$  mse $(\widehat{\theta}),$  with equality only if var $(\widetilde\theta\,|\:T) = 0.$   $\Box$ 

bona fide estimator.

 $(iii)$  If  $\tilde{\theta}$  is unbiased, then so is  $\hat{\theta}.$ 

(iv) If  $\tilde{\theta}$  is already a function of  $\tau$ , then  $\hat{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}$ .

of estimation.

### Example 3.5

Lecture 3. Sufficiency

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid  $U[0, \theta]$ , and suppose that we want to estimate  $\theta$ . From Example 3.2,  $T = \max X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ . Let  $\tilde{\theta} = 2X_1$ , an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$  [check].

Then

$$
\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta} | \mathcal{T} = t] = 2\mathbb{E}[X_1 | \max X_i = t]
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2(\mathbb{E}[X_1 | \max X_i = t, X_1 = \max X_i] \mathbb{P}(X_1 = \max X_i)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \mathbb{E}[X_1 | \max X_i = t, X_1 \neq \max X_i] \mathbb{P}(X_1 \neq \max X_i))
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2(t \times \frac{1}{n} + \frac{t}{2} \frac{n-1}{n}) = \frac{n+1}{n}t,
$$

so that  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{n+1}{n} \max X_i$ . In Lecture 4 we show directly that this is unbiased.N.B. Why is  $\mathbb{E}\left[X_1 \mid \max X_i = t, X_1 \neq \max X_i\right] = t/2$ ? -1 Because $f_{X_1}(x_1 \mid X_1 < t) = \frac{f_{X_1}(x_1, X_1 < t)}{\mathbb{P}(X_1 < t)} = \frac{f_{X_1}(x_1) 1_{[0 \leq X_1 < t]}}{t/\theta} = \frac{1/\theta \times 1_{[0 \leq X_1 < t]}}{t/\theta} = \frac{1}{t} 1_{[0 \leq X_1 < t]},$  and so  $X_1 | X_1 < t \sim U[0, t].$ 

y and  $14 \ (1-1)$ 

Lecture 3. Sufficiency

y and  $13 \ (1-1)$ 

Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid Poisson $(\lambda)$ , and let  $\theta = e^{-\lambda}$   $( = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 0))$ . Then  $p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} | \lambda) = \left( e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum x_i} \right) / \prod x_i!$ , so that  $p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = \left( \theta^n(-\log \theta)^{\sum x_i} \right) / \prod x_i!$ . We see that  $\mathcal{T} = \sum X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ , and  $\sum X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$ . An easy estimator of  $\theta$  is  $\widetilde{\theta}=1_{[\mathsf{X}_{1}=0]}$  (unbiased) [i.e. if do not observe any events in first observation period, assume the event is impossible!]

Then

$$
\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta} | \mathcal{T} = t] = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 0 | \sum_{1}^{n} X_i = t)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 0) \mathbb{P}(\sum_{1}^{n} X_i = t)}{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{1}^{n} X_i = t)} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^t \text{ (check).}
$$

So 
$$
\hat{\theta} = (1 - \frac{1}{n})\sum_{i}^{n} X_i \quad \Box
$$
  
[Common sense check:  $\hat{\theta} = (1 - \frac{1}{n})^{n\overline{X}} \approx e^{-\overline{X}} = e^{-\hat{\lambda}}$ ]

$$
13(1-1)
$$